

## REMARKS

Applicant will address each of the Examiner's rejections in the order in which they appear in the Final Rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

The Examiner rejects Claims 12-14, 24-26 and 30-32 under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent Claims 12, 24 and 30 are directed to an organic electroluminescence display device. Applicant believes that this is a limitation on the scope of the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that Yamazaki is directed to a liquid crystal display device. Hence, the reference does not disclose the claimed invention. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

#### Rejection of Claims 15-17, 36 and 37

The Examiner also rejects Claims 15-17, 36 and 37 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. in view of Zhang et al. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

Independent Claims 15 and 36 are directed to an organic electroluminescence display device. Applicant believes that this is a limitation on the scope of the claims. Again, Applicant respectfully submits that Yamazaki is directed to a liquid crystal display device. Hence, Applicant submits that the cited references do not disclose the claimed invention. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this rejection of these independent claims and the claims dependent thereon be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 18-23, 27-29, 33-35 and 38-43

The Examiner also rejects Claims 18-23, 27-29, 33-35 and 38-43 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. in view of Hamada et al. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

These claims are also directed to an organic electroluminescence display device. Again, Applicant believes that this is a limitation on the scope of the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that Yamazaki is directed to a liquid crystal display device. Hence, Applicant submits that the cited references do not disclose the claimed invention. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this rejection of these claims be withdrawn.

Conclusion


It is respectfully requested that the present application now be allowed.

Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-1039 for any fee due for this amendment.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: *October 27, 2003*

  
Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.  
200 West Adams Street  
Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500